* **What you did well? (Continue)**

Overall process :

* + We arrange meetings every week : 4 hours each week in Wednesday and Friday
  + We seperate the workload equally for everyone
  + Weekly discussion about what have been done for a week
  + Recorded weekly meeting and work in GitHub
  + Asking tutor whenever we were in stuck
  + Know everyone’s strength and weakness
  + Attend tutorial weekly
  + Only few times we have arguments but we managed to solved well
  + Clearly understand the project’s goal and ideas

Sprint 1:

* + We developed well application of the stories to fit principle of Invest( above 85%) and have clear expression for each stories
  + Implement excellent backlog
  + Sprint goal was achieved and have delivered highest possible value to client via coherent group of stories
  + Stories for the Sprint have no dependencies to setup next sprint to finish the release
* **What you did not do well? (Stop)**

Overall process:

* + Not start working the project early
  + Sometimes we did not prepare well for the tutorial
  + We did not attend lecture sometimes.
  + Prepared totally well for each meetings

Sprint 1 drawbacks:

* + Schedule for the sprint is considered not feasible
  + Only 50% of our stories were prioritized using MoSCoW
  + Most of client priorities are displayed in the sprint.(We have 2/5)
* **What will you do differently next time to improve the performance of the team? (Initiate)**

Here are things we will manage to improve in the following projects:

* + Perform time management for each task of the project better as well as prioritize essential works.
  + Start the project sooner
  + Prepared well for the tutorial
  + Improve things we did not do well in the Sprint 1 by following the Sprint 1 mark criteria
  + Improve working environment to be more friendly by making connections between each team member